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## FOREWORD

Gender refers to both women and men, and their status relative to each other. Harnessing the full capacity of all citizens, both women and men is essential for the achievement of sustainable development. This informs the advocacy for the advancement of economic, political, social and cultural equity between women and men World over. Current efforts at promoting gender equity and equality in Ondo state are focused on women empowerment and the improvement of their political, social, economic and health status as they are regarded as being discriminated against. The effects of these efforts can only be measured through the production/availability of gender disaggregated data. However, as noted in the Ondo State Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (ODSEEDS) document, there is dearth of statistical data and analysis on gender and women empowerment in the state. The Millennium Development Goals 3 - promoting gender equality and empowering women depends on availability of data for its monitoring and evaluation. The dearth of data on gender equality will mean inability to measure and evaluate progress made towards the attainment of this goal in the state.

As a response to this situation, the Department of Research and Statistics of the Ministry of Finance and Planning, Akure embarked on Research into Gender equity and equality in the state. The aim was to collate and analyze data on gender
with respect to Politics and Government, Economic Empowerment, Employment, Education and Equality in the Households between women and men and; boys and girls. It is hoped that this report will be useful in monitoring gender equity and women empowerment in the state.

Constructive criticisms and useful suggestions are welcome from users of this report for the improvement of future exercise. Such should be addressed to:

Director,
Research \& Statistics Department, Ministry of Finance \& Planning, Akure,

## CHAPTER ONE

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SURVEY METHODOLOGY

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Gender has been aptly defined as a social construct that establishes and differentiates statuses and roles between women and men particularly in the way they contribute to, participate in, and are rewarded by the economy and the prevailing social system. Gender concerns involve women as well as men. Hence understanding gender means understanding opportunities and constraints as they affect both women and men.

Gender equality as a matter of fact, refers to equality of access and opportunities for women and men, to exploit their full potentials, principally in the following areas as expounded by the World Economic Forum:

- Economic Opportunity: This concerns the quality of women's economic involvement in the workforce.
- Political Empowerment: This refers to equitable representation of women in decision making structures and formulation of policies that affect them.
- Education Attainment: This refers to equitable enrolment of women at all levels of education.
- Health and Well-being: This relates to the substantial differences between women and men in their access to sufficient nutrition, healthcare and reproductive facilities and to issues of fundamental safety and integrity of person.

With the belief that gender equality will enhance the creation of a world of shared responsibility, the Ondo state government has been implementing several programmes aimed at empowering women and improving their political, social, economic and health status. Realizing that equality could be achieved through economic empowerment, the State Government has established various poverty reduction institutions and programmes that are pro-women. The government has also approved laws to improve the social status of women. Prominent among these is the law on the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against women (CEDAW).

The outcome of the analysis of data presented in this report is expected to engender the monitoring and evaluation of gender equality and women empowerment in the state as it provides demographic and socio-economic and political indicators on men and women in the State.

### 1.2 OBJECTIVES OF THE SURVEY

The survey was designed to:

- address the problem of dearth of data and analysis on gender issues in the state.
- underpin the results of various policies and programmes of the state government aimed at women empowerment and improvement of their political, economic and health status.
- provide data for monitoring the Millennium Development Goal 3, that is, promoting Gender equality and women empowerment;
- identify challenges (problems) if any, militating against programmes and policies on Gender equity and equality
- provide data to assist in designing appropriate gender sensitive development policies and programmes without which gender equity and equality can not be achieved.


### 1.3 SCOPE

Data/information was collected to determine the following:

- gender equality in household and ownership of assets;
- gender equality in education
- gender equality in employment
- gender equality in skill acquisition and financial empowerment
- gender equality in government and politics


### 1.4 COVERAGE

The survey covers the whole state on sample basis for household level data. Other data sets are actual parameters based on complete enumeration.

### 1.5 METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION

Data collection was done through the use of questionnaires.

Different sets of questionnaires were designed and used to collect information from different sources. The staff of the Research \& Statistics, Ministry of Finance and Planning, Akure served as both data collectors and supervisors.

### 1.6 REFERENCE PERIOD

The data in this reports relates to the situation as at December 2008.

## CHAPTER TWO

### 2.0 FIN DINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 2.1 FINDINGS

### 2.1.1 DISTRIBUTION OF HEADS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND HOUSEHOLD

## MEMBERS BY SEX

The study reveals that of the households involved in this study $92 \%$ of Household heads are Male and $8 \%$ are Female. The sex distribution of household members in the households is Male 50 \%, Female $50 \%$ (Table 1).


TABLE 1
DISTRIBUTION OF HEADS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS BY SEX

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LOCAL } \\ \text { GOVERNMENT } \\ \text { AREA } \end{gathered}$ | SEX OFHEADS OF HOUSEHOLDS |  | ALL MEMBERS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F |
| AKOKO N / E | 115 | 5 | 305 | 304 |
| AKOKO N / W | 104 | 16 | 275 | 291 |
| AKOKO S / E | 117 | 3 | 258 | 284 |
| AKOKO S / W | 77 | 3 | 242 | 242 |
| AKURE / N | 65 | 15 | 171 | 144 |
| AKURE / S | 159 | 11 | 431 | 416 |
| ESE-ODO | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| IDANRE | 109 | 11 | 259 | 289 |
| IFEDORE | 114 | 6 | 287 | 261 |
| ILAJE | 64 | 16 | 174 | 153 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 73 | 7 | 153 | 100 |
| IRELE | 119 | 1 | 339 | 350 |
| ODIGBO | 78 | 2 | 180 | 138 |
| OKITIPUPA | 79 | 1 | 168 | 149 |
| ONDO EAST | 116 | 4 | 245 | 244 |
| ONDO WEST | 99 | 21 | 225 | 308 |
| OSE | 116 | 4 | 270 | 255 |
| OWO | 105 | 15 | 270 | 259 |
| TOTAL | 1709 | 141 | 4234 | 4187 |
| \% | 92 | 8 | 50 | 50 |

[^0]
### 2.1.2 GENDER EOUALITY IN HOUSEHOLD

When society assigns different roles to male and female and also based decisions on vital issues such as educational attainment, and employment opportunities on gender consideration, then a foundation for gender inequality and discrimination is laid. The issues covered in this report include assigning of roles to male and female by society, decision making dynamics in households, who goes to school and to what level, who has more access to food, medical care, jobs. Also in circumstances of inadequate resources, would boys education be prioritized over girls? e.t.c.

Table 2 reveals that household chores are undertaken mainly by females as follows: cooking (78.5\%), child care 65\%, sweeping 65\%, washing of clothes $63 \%$, fetching of water $53 \%$ and washing of floors and toilets (63\%).

GENDER EQUALITY IN HOUSEHOLDS

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LOCAL GOVERNMENT } \\ \text { AREA } \end{gathered}$ | WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR COOKING? |  |  |  | WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CHILDCARE? |  |  |  | WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SWEEPING? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Housemaid | Male | Female | Everybody | Housemaid | Male | Female | Everybody | Housemaid | Male | Female | Everybody |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 0 | 0 | 90 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 48 | 69 | 0 | 1 | 86 | 33 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 0 | 0 | 114 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 5 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 3 | 0 | 108 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 94 | 14 | 11 | 2 | 95 | 12 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 2 | 4 | 65 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 40 | 34 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 45 |
| AKURE NORTH | 2 | 6 | 54 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 59 | 17 | 4 | 19 | 41 | 16 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 3 | 2 | 136 | 29 | 2 | 2 | 94 | 72 | 6 | 4 | 130 | 30 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 1 | 1 | 100 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 88 | 28 | 2 | 2 | 81 | 35 |
| IFEDORE | 0 | 2 | 60 | 58 | 0 | 3 | 62 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 62 |
| ILAJE | 1 | 6 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 49 | 15 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 2 | 6 | 54 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 50 | 23 | 2 | 3 | 58 | 17 |
| IRELE | 18 | 6 | 94 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 82 | 22 | 22 | 12 | 78 | 8 |
| ODIGBO | 0 | 10 | 63 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 53 | 20 | 2 | 13 | 48 | 17 |
| OKITIPUPA | 3 | 0 | 50 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 36 | 8 | 5 | 58 | 9 |
| ONDO EAST | 0 | 0 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 35 | 0 | 11 | 95 | 4 |
| ONDO WEST | 1 | 1 | 105 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 92 | 23 | 7 | 1 | 60 | 52 |
| OSE | 1 | 4 | 80 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 68 | 51 | 1 | 2 | 76 | 41 |
| OWO | 8 | 4 | 88 | 20 | 9 | 1 | 65 | 45 | 16 | 7 | 51 | 46 |
| TOTAL | 45 | 52 | 1452 | 301 | 45 | 45 | 1210 | 550 | 83 | 106 | 1203 | 458 |
| \% | 2 | 3 | 79 | 16 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 65 | 30 | 4 | 6 | 65 | 25 |

Source: Project Field Work

TABLE 2 Contd

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LOCAL GOVERNMENT } \\ \text { AREA } \end{gathered}$ | WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR WASHING OF CLOTHES |  |  |  | WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FETCHING WATER |  |  |  | WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR WASHING FLOORS AND TOILETS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Housemaid | Male | Female | Everybody | Housemaid | Male | Female | Everybody | Housemaid | Male | Female | Everybody |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 0 | 2 | 75 | 43 | 2 | 21 | 47 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 85 | 33 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 10 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 12 | 0 | 79 | 29 | 4 | 15 | 79 | 22 | 17 | 1 | 81 | 21 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 0 | 7 | 15 | 58 | 3 | 15 | 16 | 46 | 3 | 14 | 45 | 18 |
| AKURE NORTH | 4 | 5 | 33 | 38 | 3 | 19 | 45 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 44 | 28 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 4 | 2 | 108 | 56 | 5 | 19 | 96 | 50 | 5 | 11 | 117 | 37 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 2 | 2 | 73 | 43 | 3 | 23 | 72 | 23 | 1 | 6 | 69 | 44 |
| IFEDORE | 0 | 0 | 53 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 56 |
| ILAJE | 1 | 5 | 58 | 16 | 2 | 21 | 45 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 53 | 21 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 2 | 3 | 54 | 21 | 4 | 13 | 36 | 27 | 2 | 3 | 55 | 20 |
| IRELE | 17 | 23 | 54 | 26 | 0 | 38 | 53 | 29 | 11 | 18 | 23 | 68 |
| ODIGBO | 1 | 10 | 48 | 21 | 2 | 18 | 43 | 17 | 1 | 13 | 42 | 24 |
| OKITIPUPA | 8 | 4 | 52 | 16 | 8 | 16 | 43 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 66 | 7 |
| ONDO EAST | 0 | 0 | 90 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 96 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 80 | 34 |
| ONDO WEST | 7 | 1 | 73 | 39 | 7 | 13 | 70 | 30 | 8 | 2 | 79 | 31 |
| OSE | 1 | 5 | 94 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 66 | 46 | 0 | 3 | 97 | 20 |
| OWO | 14 | 8 | 42 | 56 | 18 | 10 | 28 | 64 | 12 | 5 | 60 | 43 |
| TOTAL | 73 | 77 | 1221 | 579 | 61 | 259 | 937 | 511 | 73 | 92 | 1170 | 515 |
| \% | 4 | 4 | 63 | 29 | 33 | 15 | 53 | 29 | 4 | 5 | 63 | 28 |

## GENDER EQUALITY IN HOUSEHOLDS



On decision making on health, Table 3 reveals that $37 \%$ of heads of households involve their spouses "Sometimes", $57 \%$ involve their spouses "Always", $2 \%$ Never involve their spouses, the rest $4 \%$ represents those without spouse like widows, widowed e.t.c

TABLE 3
Que: Is your spouse involved in decision making on health care?

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | Sometimes | Always | Never | Not Applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 18 | 101 | 1 | 0 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 44 | 75 | 1 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 17 | 50 | 4 | 9 |
| AKURE NORTH | 52 | 25 | 1 | 2 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 43 | 111 | 7 | 9 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 47 | 68 | 5 | 0 |
| IFEDORE | 86 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 31 | 30 | 4 | 15 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 1 | 75 | 1 | 3 |
| IRELE | 8 | 112 | 0 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 10 | 60 | 0 | 10 |
| OKITIPUPA | 61 | 17 | 2 | 0 |
| ONDO EAST | 88 | 30 | 0 | 2 |
| ONDO WEST | 24 | 80 | 6 | 10 |
| OSE | 6 | 108 | 3 | 3 |
| OWO | 30 | 72 | 6 | 12 |
| TOTAL | 686 | 1048 | 41 | 75 |
| \% | 37 | 57 | 2 | 4 |

[^1]Table 4 reveals that $41 \%$ of Heads of Households indicated that they involve their spouses "Sometimes" in decision on major household purchases, $51 \%$ "Always" and 4\% "Never"

TABLE 4
Que: Is your spouse involved in decision making in major household purchase

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | Sometimes | Always | Never | Not Applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 25 | 93 | 2 | 0 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 50 | 55 | 12 | 3 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 24 | 39 | 8 | 9 |
| AKURE NORTH | 49 | 22 | 7 | 2 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 51 | 98 | 16 | 5 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 40 | 75 | 5 | 0 |
| IFEDORE | 84 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 41 | 18 | 6 | 15 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 2 | 62 | 3 | 13 |
| IRELE | 12 | 108 | 0 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 17 | 52 | 0 | 11 |
| OKITIPUPA | 47 | 32 | 1 | 0 |
| ONDO EAST | 88 | 30 | 0 | 2 |
| ONDO WEST | 65 | 45 | 3 | 7 |
| OSE | 8 | 107 | 1 | 4 |
| OWO | 37 | 65 | 6 | 12 |
| TOTAL | 760 | 937 | 70 | 83 |
| \% | 41 | 51 | 4 | 4 |

Source: Project Field Work

Table 5 reveals that $29 \%$ of Heads of Households involve their spouses "Sometimes" in decision making in all areas that concern her and the children, $64 \%$ "Always" and $2 \%$ "Never"

TABLE 5
Que: Is your spouse involved in decision making in all areas that concern her and the children ?

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | Sometimes | Always | Never | Not Applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 9 | 108 | 3 | 0 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 119 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 32 | 87 | 1 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 10 | 58 | 2 | 10 |
| AKURE NORTH | 35 | 40 | 1 | 4 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 34 | 121 | 10 | 5 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 28 | 89 | 3 | 0 |
| IFEDORE | 77 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 33 | 26 | 3 | 18 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 1 | 65 | 1 | 13 |
| IRELE | 11 | 109 | 0 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 2 | 67 | 0 | 11 |
| OKITIPUPA | 34 | 43 | 0 | 3 |
| ONDO EAST | 85 | 34 | 0 | 1 |
| ONDO WEST | 5 | 107 | 0 | 8 |
| OSE | 7 | 107 | 1 | 5 |
| OWO | 22 | 80 | 8 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 544 | 1185 | 33 | 88 |
| \% | 29 | 64 | 2 | 5 |

[^2]Table 6 reveals that $39 \%$ of Heads of Household involve their spouse "Sometimes" in decision making on daily household spending. $52 \%$ "Always" and 3.\% "Never"

TABLE 6
Que: Is your spouse involved in decision making in daily household spending ?

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | Sometimes | Always | Never | Not Applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 23 | 96 | 1 | 0 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 56 | 58 | 6 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 26 | 39 | 6 | 9 |
| AKURE NORTH | 46 | 23 | 8 | 3 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 43 | 107 | 14 | 6 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 41 | 76 | 3 | 0 |
| IFEDORE | 76 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 41 | 18 | 6 | 15 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 3 | 61 | 3 | 13 |
| IRELE | 6 | 114 | 0 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 15 | 55 | 0 | 11 |
| OKITIPUPA | 42 | 35 | 2 | 1 |
| ONDO EAST | 88 | 31 | 0 | 1 |
| ONDO WEST | 40 | 70 | 3 | 7 |
| OSE | 18 | 81 | 1 | 20 |
| OWO | 44 | 60 | 5 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 728 | 968 | 58 | 97 |
| \% | 40 | 52 | 3 | 5 |

[^3]Gender discrimination also arises as a result of the society's belief that men perform better on jobs and that when jobs are scarce, a man has more right to work than a woman. Table 7 reveals that $47 \%$ of Heads of Households believe that men perform better than women.

TABLE 7
Que: Do you think that men make a better administration than women?

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | YES | NO | DON'T KNOW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 15 | 99 | 6 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 0 | 120 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 73 | 25 | 22 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 48 | 25 | 7 |
| AKURE NORTH | 37 | 40 | 3 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 80 | 84 | 6 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 104 | 15 | 1 |
| IFEDORE | 19 | 101 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 72 | 7 | 1 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 61 | 16 | 3 |
| IRELE | 18 | 102 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 53 | 25 | 2 |
| OKITIPUPA | 50 | 28 | 2 |
| ONDO EAST | 45 | 75 | 0 |
| ONDO WEST | 80 | 30 | 10 |
| OSE | 83 | 36 | 1 |
| OWO | 36 | 81 | 3 |
| TOTAL | 874 | 909 | 67 |
| 5 | 47 | 49 | 4 |

[^4]Similarly, $42 \%$ of Heads of Households believe that when jobs are scarce, a man has more right to work than a woman. (Table 8)

## TABLE 8

Do you believe that when jobs are scarce, that a man has more right to work than a woman?

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | YES | NO | DON'T KNOW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 8 | 108 | 4 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 0 | 120 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 39 | 36 | 45 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 35 | 39 | 6 |
| AKURE NORTH | 22 | 54 | 4 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 77 | 88 | 5 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 80 | 36 | 4 |
| IFEDORE | 18 | 102 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 72 | 5 | 3 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 57 | 21 | 2 |
| IRELE | 3 | 117 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 43 | 34 | 3 |
| OKITIPUPA | 55 | 23 | 2 |
| ONDO EAST | 111 | 9 | 0 |
| ONDO WEST | 60 | 45 | 15 |
| OSE | 43 | 75 | 2 |
| OWO | 45 | 67 | 8 |
| TOTAL | 768 | 979 | 103 |
| \% | 41 | 53 | 6 |

[^5]The study reveals that only $6 \%$ of Heads of Households believe that there is a difference in the level in which a boy and a girl can attain in life (Table 9). Table 12 shows that $89 \%$ of Heads of Households were of the opinion that higher education for a boy is not more important than for a girl.

TABLE 9
Que: In your opinion, is there any difference in the level which a boy and a girl can attain in life?

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | YES | NO | DON'T KNOW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 13 | 104 | 3 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 6 | 109 | 5 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 18 | 80 | 22 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 4 | 64 | 12 |
| AKURE NORTH | 8 | 70 | 2 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 10 | 160 | 0 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 4 | 114 | 2 |
| IFEDORE | 4 | 116 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 2 | 58 | 20 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 7 | 70 | 3 |
| IRELE | 4 | 116 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 6 | 70 | 4 |
| OKITIPUPA | 4 | 55 | 21 |
| ONDO EAST | 0 | 120 | 0 |
| ONDO WEST | 13 | 80 | 27 |
| OSE | 5 | 71 | 44 |
| OWO | 9 | 98 | 13 |
| TOTAL | 117 | 1555 | 178 |
| \% | 6 | 84 | 10 |

## Source: Project Field Work

TABLE 10
Que: Education is more important for a boy than for a girl?

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | Agree | Disagree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 5 | 115 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 0 | 120 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 11 | 109 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 1 | 79 |
| AKURE NORTH | 9 | 71 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 5 | 165 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 3 | 117 |
| IFEDORE | 1 | 119 |
| ILAJE | 7 | 73 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 0 | 80 |
| IRELE | 120 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 4 | 76 |
| OKITIPUPA | 8 | 72 |
| ONDO EAST | 0 | 120 |
| ONDO WEST | 18 | 102 |
| OSE | 5 | 115 |
| OWO | 1 | 119 |
| TOTAL | 198 | 1652 |
| \% | 11 | 89 |

Source: Project Field Work

Table 11 shows that $89 \%$ of Heads of Households disagreed that University education for boys should be prioritized over that of girls.

TABLE 11
Que: University education for boys should be prioritized over that of girls

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | Agree | Disagree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 4 | 116 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 0 | 120 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 10 | 110 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 8 | 72 |
| AKURE NORTH | 8 | 72 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 3 | 167 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 3 | 117 |
| IFEDORE | 1 | 117 |
| ILAJE | 7 | 73 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 0 | 80 |
| IRELE | 118 | 2 |
| ODIGBO | 8 | 72 |
| OKITIPUPA | 6 | 74 |
| ONDO EAST | 4 | 116 |
| ONDO WEST | 18 | 102 |
| OSE | 4 | 116 |
| OWO | 1 | 119 |
| TOTAL | 203 | 1647 |
| \% | 11 | 89 |

Source: Project Field Work

Table 12 reveals that in $90 \%$ of the households involved in this study, boys and girls have the same access to food in the household.

TABLE 12
Que: Do boys and girls have the same access to food in the household

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | YES | NO | DON'T KNOW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 119 | 1 | 0 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 120 | 0 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 96 | 20 | 5 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 72 | 3 | 4 |
| AKURE NORTH | 60 | 16 | 4 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 168 | 2 | 0 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 114 | 6 | 0 |
| IFEDORE | 101 | 19 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 60 | 17 | 3 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 76 | 1 | 3 |
| IRELE | 112 | 8 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 73 | 2 | 5 |
| OKITIPUPA | 80 | 0 | 0 |
| ONDO EAST | 103 | 17 | 0 |
| ONDO WEST | 98 | 15 | 7 |
| OSE | 115 | 3 | 2 |
| OWO | 94 | 25 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 1661 | 155 | 34 |
| \% | 90 | 8 | 2 |

[^6]Table 13 shows that in $94 \%$ of the households, boys and girls have the same access to medical care.

TABLE 13
Que: Do boys and girls have the same access to medical care in the household?

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | YES | NO | DON'T KNOW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 119 | 1 | 0 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 120 | 0 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 113 | 5 | 2 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 73 | 1 | 4 |
| AKURE NORTH | 61 | 17 | 2 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 168 | 2 | 0 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 118 | 2 | 0 |
| IFEDORE | 119 | 1 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 73 | 4 | 3 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 80 | 0 | 0 |
| IRELE | 117 | 3 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 71 | 5 | 4 |
| OKITIPUPA | 79 | 1 | 0 |
| ONDO EAST | 106 | 14 | 0 |
| ONDO WEST | 110 | 6 | 4 |
| OSE | 117 | 1 | 2 |
| OWO | 97 | 23 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 1741 | 85 | 21 |
| \% | 94 | 5 | 1 |

Source: Project Field Work

Table 14 presents the reasons behind the belief of respondents who believe that there is a difference in the level in which a boy and a girl can attain in life.

TABLE 14
Reasons behind the belief that there is difference in the level which a boy and girl can attain in life

| $\mathbf{S} / \mathbf{N}$ | Reason | Frequency | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Males are naturally more matured talented and determined than <br> females | 31 | 1.6 |
| 2 | God ordained that males should be above females | 5 | 0.3 |
| 3 | Males are expected to be economically independent while females <br> are expected to be dependent on Males | 11 | 0.6 |
| 4 | Males are more hard working than females | 29 | 1.5 |

## Source: Project Field Work

Table 15 presents the opinions of respondents who be that education is more important for a male than for a female.

TABLE 15
Reasons behind the opinion that education is more important for a male than a female

| S/N | Reasons | Frequency | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Males are naturally bread winners | 8 | 0.4 |
| 2 | Females are limited at child bearing stage | 10 | 0.5 |
| 3 | The challenge of motherhood/ home keeping | 28 | 1.4 |
| 4 | Females end up to be housewives | 34 | 1.8 |

[^7]TABLE 16
Reasons why boys of aged 5-18 yrs are out of School

| S/N | Response | Frequency | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Finance | 44 | 84.6 |
| 2 | Disability | 8 | 15.4 |

Source: Project Field Work

TABLE 17

Reasons why girls of aged 5-18 yrs are out of school

| S/N | Response | Frequency | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Poor academic performance | 5 | 13.2 |
| 2 | Preference for business | 9 | 23.7 |
| 3 | Finance | 17 | 44.7 |
| 4 | Pregnancy | 7 | 18.4 |
|  | Total | 38 | 100.0 |

Source: Project Field Work

### 2.1.3 OWNERSHIIP OF ASSETS IN HOUSEHOLDS

The study revealed that more than $50 \%$ of each of the assets highlighted are owned by males.

The breakdown in Table 18 shows that $62 \%$ of farmlands, $52 \%$ of House/land $55 \%$ of vehicles, $86 \%$ of motor/cycle, $85 \%$ of Bicycles and $69 \%$ of Canoe are owned solely by male in households.

|  | FARMLAND |  |  | HOUSE/LAND |  |  | VEHICLE |  |  | M/CYCLE |  |  | BICYCLE |  |  | CANOE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GOVERNMENT AREA | M | F | JOINT | M | F | JOINT | M | F | JOINT | M | F | JOINT | M | F | JOINT | M | F | JOINT |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 91 | 1 | 8 | 64 | 2 | 21 | 27 | 0 | 4 | 40 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 47 | 0 | 55 | 30 | 0 | 70 | 22 | 5 | 30 | 5 |  | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 32 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 21 |  | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 20 | 4 | 37 | 20 | 2 | 23 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 13 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AKURE NORTH | 50 | 11 | 20 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 21 | 2 | 33 | 44 | 18 | 52 | 40 | 25 | 47 | 20 |  | 3 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ESE-ODO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDANRE | 76 | 15 | 18 | 49 | 12 | 39 | 27 | 0 | 15 | 31 |  | 14 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IFEDORE | 40 | 0 | 11 | 38 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 28 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILAJE | 40 | 8 | 12 | 30 | 0 | 63 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 28 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 38 | 20 | 3 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IRELE | 39 | 11 | 0 | 47 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ODIGBO | 72 | 12 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKITIPUPA | 31 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 6 | 3 |
| ONDO EAST | 50 | 2 | 55 | 34 | 5 | 48 | 37 | 12 | 37 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ONDO WEST | 45 | 19 | 30 | 67 | 8 | 22 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 45 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OSE | 43 | 2 | 8 | 144 | 1 | 28 | 19 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OWO | 20 | 1 | 24 | 22 | 7 | 49 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 18 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 755 | 131 | 334 | 645 | 84 | 440 | 325 | 53 | 210 | 365 | 9 | 53 | 66 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 6 | 3 |
| \% | 61.9 | 10.7 | 27.4 | 55.2 | 7.2 | 37.6 | 55.3 | 9.0 | 35.7 | 85.5 | 2.1 | 12.4 | 84.6 | 0 | 15.4 | 69.0 | 20.7 | 10.3 |

Source: Project Field Work

### 2.1.4 EDUCATION AND LITERACY

Education attainment has implications for the quality of life, employment opportunities, participation in decision making at household and community, participation in Government and economic empowerment of individuals. Ensuring equal opportunity for boys and girls at all levels of education is germane for attaining gender equality which will in turn engender the contribution of all citizens whether male or female to sustainable development. This section examines and highlights the levels of education (based on the selected sample) of males and females in the State.

### 2.1.4.1LITERACY

Literacy is the ability to read and write. The literacy gender ratio which is the number of literates' females out of every 100 literate males is shown in Table 20. For every 100 literate males, there are 96 Literate females indicating disparity in literacy level between male and female with literacy level for male higher than female. The literacy rate for both sexes is $84 \%$.

## LITERACY BY SEX

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | LITERACY |  |  | NOT LITERACY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 214 | 168 | 382 | 96 | 77 | 173 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 202 | 197 | 399 | 70 | - | 166 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 190 | 219 | 409 | 45 | 58 | 103 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 125 | 117 | 242 | 27 | 37 | 64 |
| AKURE NORTH | 146 | 132 | 278 | 29 | 41 | 70 |
| AKURE SOUTH | 268 | 270 | 538 | 19 | 20 | 39 |
| ESE-ODO | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IDANRE | 264 | 272 | 536 | 37 | 42 | 79 |
| IFEDORE | 252 | 208 | 460 | 36 | 28 | 64 |
| ILAJE | 143 | 119 | 262 | 17 | 32 | 49 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 149 | 141 | 290 | 22 | 19 | 41 |
| IRELE | 313 | 303 | 616 | 31 | 44 | 75 |
| ODIGBO | 137 | 102 | 239 | 38 | 34 | 72 |
| OKITIPUPA | 133 | 110 | 243 | 26 | 29 | 55 |
| ONDO EAST | 207 | 212 | 419 | 18 | 22 | 40 |
| ONDO WEST | 160 | 170 | 330 | 70 | 105 | 175 |
| OSE | 224 | 206 | 430 | 29 | 38 | 67 |
| OWO | 240 | 221 | 461 | 11 | 34 | 45 |
| TOTAL | 3367 | 3167 | 6534 | 621 | 660 | 1377 |
| \% | 84.0 | 83.0 | 100 | 16 | 17 | 100 |

TABLE 20

## LITERACY RATE BY SEX

| SEX | LITERATE | NOT <br> LLITERATE | LITERACY <br> RATE | LITERACY <br> GENDER <br> RATIO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | 3420 | 636 | 84.3 |  |
| F | 3296 | 684 | 82.8 |  |
| T | $\mathbf{6 7 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 6}$ |  |

Source: Project Field Work


### 2.1.4.2 PRIMARY SCHOOL ENROLMENT

Table 21 shows that $51 \%$ of pupils enrolled in primary schools are females while 49\% are male. Given that male and female populations are at per in the state, it can be concluded that there is no disparity in male and female enrolment in primary school.

TABLE 21
PRIMARY SCHOOL ENROLMENT (\% DISTRIBUTION BY SEX)

| YEAR | \% DISTRIBUTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 49 | 51 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 49 | 51 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 49 | 51 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 49 | 51 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 49 | 51 |
|  |  |  |



### 2.1.4.3 SECONDARY SCHOOL ENROLMENT

From Table 22, it could be concluded that disparity in enrolment between male and female in secondary school is insignificant as 51. \% are male and $48 \%$ are female.

TABLE 24
SECONDARY SCHOOLS ENROLMENTS (\% DISTRIBUTION BY SEX)

| YEAR | \% DISTRIBUTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 52 | 48 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 53 | 47 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 53 | 47 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 46 | 54 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 52 | 48 |



### 2.1.4.4

## ADULT LITERACY

Enrolments in Adult Literacy Centre's between 2003 and 2007 show that about $42 \%$ are males and $58 \%$ are females (See table 23).

TABLE 23
ENROLMENT IN ADULT LITERACY CENTERS (\% DISTRIBUTION BY SEX)

| YEAR | \% DISTRIBUTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 39 | F |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 34 | 61 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 48 | 66 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 43 | 52 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 42 | 57 |
| $2007 / 2008$ |  | 58 |



### 2.1.4.5 TECHNICAL EDUCATION

Enrolments in Technical Colleges shows that about $81 \%$ are male while $19 \%$ female (See table 24).

TABLE 24
ENROLMENTS IN TECHNICAL COLLEGES (\% DISTRIBUTION BY SEX)

| YEAR | \% DISTRIBUTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 81 | 19 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 76 | 24 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 76 | 24 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 84 | 16 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 81 | 19 |



### 2.1.4.6 HIGHER EDUCATION

About 55\% students in the State Polytechnic Owo are male while 45\% are female. In similar vain, enrolment in the State University, Akungba shows that about $61 \%$ are male and $39 \%$ are female (See tables $25 \& 26)$.

TABLE 25
ENROLMENTS IN STATE POLYTECHNIC (\% DISTRIBUTION BY SEX)

| YEAR | \% DISTRIBUTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 50 | 50 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 53 | 47 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 60 | 40 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 54 | 46 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 55 | 45 |



TABLE 26
ENROLMENT IN STATE UNIVERSITY AKUNGBA (\% DISTRIBUTION BY SEX)

| YEAR | \% DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M |  | \% | NO |
|  | NO | 64 | 731 | 36 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1302 | 61 | 807 | 39 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1266 |  |  |  |



### 2.1.5 GENDER EQUALITY IN EMPLOYMENT

Table 27 shows that $87 \%$ of academic staff in the State Polytechnic are male while $13 \%$ are females, $46 \%$ of non-academic staff are males while $54 \%$ are females. Overall, $73 \%$ of staff are males while $27 \%$ are females.

TABLE 27
STAFF STRENGTH IN STATE POLYTECHNIC, OWO BY SEX AS AT DECEMBER, 2008

| CATEGORY | \% DISTRIBUTION |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | F |
| ACADEMIC | 87 | 13 |
| NON-ACADEMIC | 46 | 54 |
| PROFRSSOR | - | - |
| ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR | - | - |
| SENIOR LECTURER | 87 | 13 |
| TOTAL | 73 | 27 |



Table 28 shows that all the principal officers in the state polytechnic are male

TABLE 28
DISTRIBUTION OF PRINCIPAL OFFICERS OF THE STATE POLYTECHNIC, OWOBY SEX AS AT DECEMBER, 2008

| \% DISTRIBUTION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| 100 | - |

The staff strength in the state university as shown in table 29 shows that $87 \%$ and $65 \%$ of academic and non-academic staff respectively are males . The figures are $13 \%$ and $35 \%$ for female.

TABLE 29
STAFF STRENGTH IN THE STATE UNIVERSITY AKUNGBA BY SEX 2006 / 2007

| CATEGORY | \% DISTRIBUTION |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F |
| ACADEMIC | 87 | 13 |
| NON-ACADEMIC | 65 | 35 |

Table 30 below shows that all the principal officers in the state university are male except the registrar

TABLE30
DISTRIBUTION OF PRINCIPAL OFFICERS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY AKUNGBA BY SEX AS AT DECEMBER, 2008

| \% DISTRIBUTION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | F |
| 80 | 20 |

Tables 31 to 36 show the distribution of appointments into the states civil service and local governments by gender and cadre between 2003 and 2007. Table 31 shows that about $61 \%$ of appointments into the junior cadre are male and $39 \%$ are female while. Table 32 shows that about $40 \%$ of appointments into senior cadre in the same period are male and $60 \%$ are female. The distribution of Directors (GL16)
shows that $81 \%$ are males and $19 \%$ are females. Table 34 shows that about $70 \%$ of Permanent Secretaries in the Public Service of the State are male while $30 \%$ are female.

Table 35 shows that $88.9 \%$ of Directors of Local Government Administration are males. Table 36 reveals that of all the 581 Principals of secondary schools in the state 503 ( $86.6 \%$ ) are males while 78 (13.4\%) are females.

TABLE 31
APPOINTMENT OF THE JUNIOR STAFF INTO THE ONDO STATE CIVIL SERVICE BY YEAR AND SEX

| YEAR | NUMBER |  | \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | F |
| 2003 | 109 | 43 | 152 | 72 | 28 |
| 2004 | 102 | 20 | 122 | 84 | 16 |
| 2005 | 37 | 57 | 94 | 39 | 61 |
| 2006 | 330 | 230 | 560 | 59 | 41 |
| 2007 | 70 | 65 | 135 | 52 | 48 |
| TOTAL | 648 | 415 | 1063 | 61 | 39 |



TABLE 32
APPOINTMENT OF THE SENIOR STAFF INTO THE ONDO STATE CIVIL SERVICE BY YEAR AND SEX

| YEAR | NUMBER |  |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| 2003 | 38 | 23 | 61 | 62 | 38 |
| 2004 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 56 | 44 |
| 2005 | 11 | 5 | 16 | 69 | 31 |
| 2006 | 44 | 100 | 144 | 31 | 69 |
| 2007 | 27 | 59 | 86 | 31 | 69 |
| TOTAL | 129 | 194 | 323 | 40 | 60 |

Source: Project Field Work


TABLE 33
DISTRIBUTION OF DIRECTORS (GL.16) IN THE ONDO STATE CIVIL SERVICEBY SEX DECEMBER 2008

| SEX | NUMBER | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| MALE | 77 | 81 |
| FEMALE | 18 | 19 |

Note: Data excludes Parastatals
Source:

DISTRIBUTION OF DIRECTORS (GL.16) IN THE STATE'S CIVIL SERVICE BY SEX

19\%

$$
\square \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{~F}
$$

TABLE 34
PERMANENT SECRETARIES BY SEX AS AT DECEMBER 2008

| SEX | NUMBER | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| M ALE | 16 | 70 |
| FEMALE | 07 | 30 |

DISTRIBUTION OF PERMANENT SECRETRIES IN THE STATE'S CIVIL SERVICE


TABLE 35
NUMBER OF DIRECTORS OF ADMINISTRATION (LG) BY SEX 2008

| SEX | NUMBER | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| M ALE | 16 | 89 |
| FEMALE | 2 | 11 |

DISTRIBUTION OF DIRECTORS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRATORS

11\%

$$
■ M ■ F
$$

TABLE 36
PRINCIPALS OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS BY SEX 2008

| SEX | NUMBER | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| M ALE | 503 | 87 |
| FEMALE | 78 | 13 |



### 2.1.6 GENDER EQUALITY IN SKILL ACOUISITION AND ECONOMIC EMPOWERMENT

Table 38 shows the percentage distribution of beneficiaries' of state government economic empowerment programmes. In the entrepreneurial development programme $52.7 \%$ are males and $47.2 \%$ are females. In the skill acquisition, $47.4 \%$ are males and $52.6 \%$ are females; for the Micro-enterprises scheme, $47 \%$ of beneficiaries are males while $53 \%$ are females.

TABLE 37

## (i) Number of beneficiaries by sex as at December, 2008

| PROGRAMME |  | NO OF BENEFICIARIES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}$ | F |
| Entrepreneurial Development Programme (Training) | 5,052 | 4,538 |
| Skill Acquisition | 460 | 511 |
| Micro-Enterprises Loan Scheme (MELS) | 460 | 530 |

TABLE 38
(ii) Percentage distribution by sex as at December, 2008

| PROGRAMME | PERCENTAGE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F |
| Entrepreneurial Development Programme (Training) | 53 | 47 |
| Skill Acquisition | 47 | 53 |
| Micro-Enterprises Loan Scheme (MELS) | 46 | 54 |

### 2.1.7 GENDER EQUALITY IN GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS

Data collected on the participation of women in governance and politics in the state in terms of appointment and elective position revales the following:

Heads of Boards and Parstatals - 3\%, Membership of Board and Parstatals - 8\%, Parliament - 3\%, Ministers -0\%, EXCO membership -6\% Special Advise - 0\%, Local Government Chairpersons -6\%, Local Government Supervisors 10\% and Local Government Councilors 1\%.

TABLE 39

HEADS OF BOARDS AND PARASTATALS BY SEX, 2008

| SEX | NUMBER | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| M ALE | 30 | 97 |
| FEMALE | 01 | 3 |

TABLE 40

MEMBERSHIP OF BOARDS AND PARASTATALS BY SEX, 2008

| SEX | NUMBER | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| M ALE | 111 | 92.5 |
| FEMALE | 09 | 7.5 |

TABLE 41

NUMBER OF PARLIAMENT MEMBERS BY SEX, 2008

| PARLIAMENTARY BODY | M |  | F |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | $\%$ | NO | $\%$ |
| STATE HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY | 24 | 96 | 1 | 4 |
| FED. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVE | 9 | 100 | - | - |
| SENATE | 3 | 100 | - | - |
| TOTAL | 36 | 97 | 1 | 3 |

NOTE: (\% IN BRACKETS)

TABLE 42

MINISTERS FROM THE STATE BY SEX, 2008

| SEX | NUMBER | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| M ALE | 1 | 100 |
| FEMALE | - | - |

TABLE 43
MEMBERS OF STATE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL BY SEX, 2008

| SEX | NUMBER | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| M ALE | 16 | 94 |
| FEMALE | 1 | 6 |

TABLE 44
NUMBER OF SPECIAL ADVISERS BY SEX, 2008

| SEX | NUMBER | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| M ALE | 5 | 100 |
| FEMALE | - | - |

TABLE 45
DISTRIBUTION OF APPOINTMENTS IN LOCAL GOVERNMENTS BY SEX, 2008

| CATEGORY | NUMBER |  |  | $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F |
| CHAIRPERSONS | 17 | 1 | 18 | 94 | 6 |
| SUPERVISORS | 75 | 8 | 83 | 90 | 10 |
| COUNCILORS | 189 | 2 | 191 | 99 | 1 |

Note Data excludes Ese-Odo LGA

## RECOMMENDATION

1. Society should be re-orientated to treat male and female equally right from the household level.
2. Existing government policies and programmes geared towards women empowerment should be well monitored and fine-tuned to make it more effective.
3. Government should pursue mainstreaming gender in its programmes and policies.
4. Research to find out the causes of the gap in gender at higher level of education should be conducted to pave way for a deliberate policy towards encouraging girls to reach higher level of education.

### 2.3 CONCLUSIONS

The population distribution of the state shows that there are about equal number of males and females. Accordingly, one expects to find equality in participation in household activities, ownership of assets, educational attainment, employment, skill acquisition, government and politices between males and females.

However, data collected during the field work shows that females lag behind males in virtually all the parameted. In the field of education, the situation is more pronounced at tertiary education level. So also, employment in the formal sector was dominated by males at the upper echelon. This may be because fewer females than males reach higher levels of education. In government and politics, only six out of every one hundred political appointees in the State are females. This is a far cry from the $30 \%$ Beinjing recommendation at Beijing.

## DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS MEMBERS BY LABOUR FORCE STATUS AND SEX

| LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA | LABOUR FORCE STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| AKOKO N / EAST | 122 | 54 | 176 | 30 | 37 | 67 | 100 | 96 | 196 | 26 | 30 | 56 | 63 | 50 | 113 |
| AKOKO N / WEST | 128 | 76 | 204 | 16 | 34 | 50 | 82 | 104 | 186 | 52 | 78 | 130 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| AKOKO S / EAST | 106 | 68 | 174 | 33 | 62 | 95 | 93 | 134 | 227 | 16 | 14 | 30 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| AKOKO S / WEST | 63 | 70 | 133 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 42 | 32 | 74 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 49 | 46 | 95 |
| AKURE NORTH | 130 | 113 | 243 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 38 | 53 | 91 | NA | 4 | 4 | NA | NA | NA |
| AKURE SOUTH | 134 | 104 | 238 | 15 | 40 | 55 | 55 | 75 | 130 | 105 | 98 | 203 | NA | NA | NA |
| ESE-ODO | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| IDANRE | 109 | 98 | 207 | 20 | 50 | 70 | 116 | 142 | 258 | 20 | 25 | 45 | 26 | 21 | 47 |
| IFEDORE | 150 | 135 | 285 | 29 | 35 | 64 | 91 | 35 | 126 | 37 | 36 | 73 | NA | NA | NA |
| ILAJE | 65 | 37 | 102 | 14 | 20 | 34 | 24 | 29 | 53 | NA | NA | NA | 75 | 83 | 158 |
| ILE-OLUJI/OKEIGBO | 75 | 75 | 150 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 95 | 92 | 187 |
| IRELE | 63 | 55 | 118 | 25 | 17 | 42 | 230 | 261 | 491 | 10 | 9 | 19 | 23 | 16 | 39 |
| ODIGBO | 87 | 71 | 158 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 45 | 46 | 91 | 23 | 13 | 36 | 20 | 7 | 27 |
| OKITIPUPA | 60 | 45 | 105 | 25 | 16 | 41 | 85 | 75 | 160 | NA | 8 | 8 | NA | NA | NA |
| ONDO EAST | 112 | 82 | 194 | 17 | 20 | 37 | 108 | 125 | 233 | 1 | NA | 1 | NA | 17 | 17 |
| ONDO WEST | 75 | 55 | 130 | 53 | 57 | 110 | 90 | 83 | 173 | 28 | 27 | 55 | 23 | 19 | 42 |
| OSE | 117 | 106 | 223 | 28 | 19 | 47 | 80 | 91 | 171 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 42 | 42 | 84 |
| OWO | 104 | 80 | 184 | 27 | 40 | 67 | 131 | 134 | 265 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| TOTAL | 1700 | 1324 | 3024 | 356 | 468 | 824 | 1416 | 1524 | 2940 | 331 | 356 | 687 | 421 | 400 | 821 |
| \% | 53.2 | 46.8 | 100 | 41.5 | 59.0 | 100 | 47.7 | 52.3 | 100 | 47.0 | 53.1 | 100 | 50.8 | 49.2 | 100 |

[^8]
## DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS MEMBER BY HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION

| S/N | LOCALGOVERNMENTAREA | HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION BY SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  | 11 |  | 12 |  | 13 |  | 14 |  | 15 |  |
|  |  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 1 | Akoko North East | 86 | 91 | - | ${ }^{8}$ | 17 | 1 | ${ }^{13}$ | - | 33 | ${ }^{44}$ | 56 | 55 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 29 | 18 | 2 | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | - |  | 1 | - | - |  |
| 2 | Akoko North West | 66 | 99 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 13 | ${ }^{21}$ | 44 | 46 | 13 | 9 | 2 | 1 | ${ }^{24}$ | 29 | 3 | 7 | 22 | 13 | 26 | 13 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 3 | Akoko South East | ${ }^{64}$ | 77 | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{52}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 1 | 2 | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }^{38}$ | ${ }^{55}$ | 58 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 2 | ${ }^{27}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | - | 2 | 17 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | Akoko South West | 45 | 46 | ${ }^{20}$ | 25 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 4 | ${ }^{10}$ | 10 | 35 | 38 | ${ }^{6}$ | - | 1 | - | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 10 | ${ }^{6}$ | - | - | - | - | 57 | ${ }^{41}$ |
| 5 | Akure North | 31 | 41 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 9 | - | 7 | 13 | 15 | 24 | ${ }^{24}$ | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| ${ }^{6}$ | Akure South | ${ }^{63}$ | ${ }^{53}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{37}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | - | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{44}$ | ${ }^{63}$ | ${ }^{100}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{12}$ | 4 | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{68}$ | ${ }^{47}$ | ${ }^{14}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 5 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 7 | Ese-Odo | NOT AVAILABLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Ilaje | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{14}$ | 6 | ${ }^{8}$ | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | ${ }^{11}$ | 12 | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | - | - | ${ }^{6}$ | 3 | ${ }^{1}$ | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | ${ }^{71}$ | ${ }^{85}$ | - | - |
| 9 | Idarre | 46 | 38 | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{37}$ | 5 | 10 | - | - | 18 | 25 | 79 | 89 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | ${ }^{31}$ | 22 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 10 | Ifedore | 36 | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 18 | 13 | 9 | ${ }^{20}$ | 16 | ${ }^{38}$ | 38 | 56 | ${ }^{62}$ | 10 | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | ${ }^{46}$ | 27 | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 9 | - | 5 | 1 | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | Ile-Oluji / Oke-Igbo | 29 | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 2 | - | - | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | 17 | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | - | 7 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 3 | ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Irele | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{48}$ | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | - | - | 49 | ${ }^{73}$ | 108 | ${ }^{85}$ | 5 | 3 | - | 1 | ${ }^{53}$ | 56 | 1 | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{22}$ | 1 | 1 | - | $\cdots$ | - | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 |
| 13 | Odigbo | 43 | 37 | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{15}$ | 1 | - | - | 1 | 15 | 9 | ${ }^{24}$ | 27 | 4 | 3 | - | - | ${ }^{13}$ | 15 | 4 | 3 | ${ }^{10}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 12 | 15 | 3 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| 14 | Okitipupa | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{27}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{9}$ | 7 | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | 18 | ${ }^{13}$ | 9 | ${ }^{11}$ | 5 | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{14}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | - | ${ }^{4}$ | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | Ondo East | 29 | ${ }^{34}$ | 48 | 47 | 11 | 18 | 1 | - | 20 | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{51}$ | ${ }^{54}$ | 14 | 4 | - | 1 | 28 | ${ }^{21}$ | 1 | ${ }^{11}$ | 7 | 3 | ${ }^{6}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 16 | Ondo West | 66 | ${ }^{88}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | 49 | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | - | 1 | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | 39 | 19 |  | 6 | - | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{22}$ | 20 | - | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 17 | Ose | ${ }^{42}$ | ${ }^{46}$ | ${ }^{38}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{10}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{42}$ | ${ }^{64}$ | 19 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 19 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 9 | ${ }^{2}$ | 18 | ${ }^{6}$ | - | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - |
| 18 | Owo | 19 | 19 | ${ }^{33}$ | 32 | 4 | 9 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{35}$ | 40 | ${ }^{39}$ | 12 | 7 | 6 | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | 29 | 2 | 10 | 17 | ${ }^{20}$ | 29 | 6 | 7 | 1 | ${ }^{1}$ | - | 5 | 7 |
|  | TOTAL | 741 | 816 | ${ }^{361}$ | 432 | 137 | 105 | 59 | 46 | 383 | ${ }^{456}$ | 762 | ${ }^{805}$ | ${ }^{123}$ | ${ }^{88}$ | 42 | ${ }^{47}$ | 415 | 364 | 36 | 106 | 214 | 110 | 243 | 114 | 43 | 9 | ${ }^{81}$ | ${ }^{86}$ | 67 | 54 |
|  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note Data excludes Ese-Odo LGA

DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS BY AGE GROUP

| AGE GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 50 | 75 | 65 | 72 | 57 | 46 | 64 | 37 | 42 | 36 | 37 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| 37 | 35 | 29 | 64 | 53 | 55 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 34 | 33 | 14 | 26 | 13 | 18 | 24 |
| 5 | 25 | 54 | 90 | 62 | 47 | 28 | 22 | 44 | 44 | 41 | 30 | 18 | 7 | 9 | 16 |
| 19 | 30 | 50 | 38 | 60 | 44 | 39 | 41 | 46 | 28 | 31 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 0 |
| 9 | 19 | 27 | 42 | 31 | 25 | 22 | 15 | 28 | 30 | 35 | 17 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 37 | 93 | 90 | 109 | 95 | 90 | 49 | 63 | 71 | 56 | 40 | 22 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 42 | 54 | 82 | 68 | 42 | 43 | 35 | 46 | 42 | 24 | 14 | 16 | 8 | 11 | 7 |
| 29 | 44 | 66 | 66 | 51 | 41 | 47 | 47 | 51 | 24 | 33 | 15 | 14 | 7 | 10 | 3 |
| 36 | 38 | 38 | 6 | 47 | 37 | 28 | 21 | 21 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 0 |
| 23 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 11 | 30 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| 18 | 39 | 80 | 104 | 104 | 69 | 39 | 41 | 38 | 43 | 38 | 33 | 14 | 21 | 8 | 0 |
| 15 | 22 | 18 | 29 | 35 | 46 | 39 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 6 |
| 16 | 15 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 33 | 40 | 35 | 35 | 21 | 20 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3 |
| 22 | 26 | 41 | 66 | 54 | 35 | 24 | 26 | 56 | 51 | 39 | 27 | 13 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| 0 | 49 | 58 | 59 | 64 | 53 | 41 | 39 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 23 | 12 | 23 | 9 | 14 |
| 33 | 59 | 36 | 63 | 65 | 51 | 45 | 43 | 48 | 22 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| 22 | 55 | 54 | 68 | 53 | 46 | 57 | 44 | 46 | 27 | 22 | 15 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 385 | 691 | 806 | 1005 | 946 | 771 | 679 | 590 | 682 | 532 | 464 | 286 | 221 | 136 | 136 | 91 |
| 4.6 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 |

KEY: AGE GROUP

| CODE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE (yr) GROUP | (0-4) | (4-9) | (10-14) | (15-19) | (20-24) | (25-29) | (30-34) | (35-39 | (40-44) | (45-49) | (50-54) | (55-59) | (60-64) | (65-69) | (70-74) | 75+ |


[^0]:    Source: Project Field Work

[^1]:    Source: Project Field Work

[^2]:    Source: Project Field Work
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[^5]:    Source: Project Field Work

[^6]:    Source: Project Field Work

[^7]:    Source: Project Field Work

[^8]:    Employed (Full Time)
    Employed (Part Time)
    Unemployed
    Inactive
    Others

