





Nigeria

COVID-19 National Longitudinal Phone Survey

(COVID-19 NLPS)

Round 2

Summary Tables

Background

Nigeria was among the first countries in Sub-Saharan Africa to identify cases of COVID-19. The Government has since implemented strict measures to contain the spread of the virus. Additionally, global oil prices plummeted by a dramatic 60% following the spread of the pandemic and since the oil sector accounts for the bulk of government revenue, this is expected to substantially weaken the Nigerian economy. The federal government will have fewer resources available to simultaneously combat the public health crisis of COVID-19 and a weakening economy.

In order to track the impacts of the pandemic, the National Bureau of Statistics implemented the **Nigeria COVID-19 National Longitudinal Phone Survey (COVID-19 NLPS)** on a nationally representative sample of 1,950 households. COVID-19 NLPS households were drawn from the sample of households interviewed in 2018/2019 for Wave 4 of the General Household Survey—Panel (GHS-Panel). The extensive information collected in the GHS-Panel just over a year prior to the pandemic provides a rich set of background information on COVID-19 NLPS households which can be leveraged to assess the differential impacts of the pandemic in the country.

The tables contained presented below are from the second round of the Nigeria COVID-19 National Longitudinal Phone Survey (COVID-19 NLPS) 2020. The second round was implemented by the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) in June 2020. These same households will be contacted in subsequent waves of the COVID-19 NLPS. The data are representative at the national level and survey weights were calculated to adjust for non-response and undercoverage.

The data are available through the NBS web site: https://nigerianstat.gov.ng/nada/index.php/catalog/63 or through the World Bank's Microdata Library: https://microdata.worldbank.org/index.php/catalog/3712

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Number	Table	Page
T0.1	Sample Composition (# of Households)	3
T0.2	Contact Rate	4
F0.1	Number of Attempts	5
T0.3	Result of Interview	6
T0.4	Sample Composition	7
F0.2	Duration of interview (minutes)	8
T1.1	Respondent Characteristics - Age and Sex (% of respondents)	9
T1.2	Respondent Relationship to Head	10
T1.3	Respondent education (% of respondents)	11
T1.4	Characteristics of Household (% of Households)	12
T1.5	Older Adults and Dependency	13
T2.1	Prevalence of safe practices	14
T2.2	Access to water and soap, past 7 days (Round 2 - June)	15
T2.3	Reasons water/soap was not sufficient (Round 2 - June)	16
T2.4	Food Insecurity Experience (% of HHs)	17
T2.5	Medical treatment since mid-March 2020	18
T2.6	Reasons unable to access medical treatment (% of HHs where one of the members needed medical treatment)	19
T2.7	Coronavirus restrictions - effects on education	20
T2.7a	Engagement in learning/education activities (% of HHs with children who attended schools before pre-closures in both rounds)	21
T2.8	Types of learning activities, past 7 days	22
F3.1	Working Status Over Time (% of respondents)	23
T3.1	WORK LAST WEEK (any work for pay or any income generating activities)	24
T3.1a	WORK LAST WEEK (any work for pay or any income generating activities) by sectors	25

Number	Table	Page
T3.1b	Respondents working in Round 2 that were working at baseline and/or before outbreak	26
T3.2	WORK LAST WEEK (any work for pay or any income generating activities)	27
T3.3	Work stoppages, by industry of main job	28
T3.4	Work stoppages, main reason	29
T3.4a	Job Search, Respondents	30
T3.5	Type of work of those respondents working	31
T3.6	Main industry of those respondents working (% of respondents working)	32
F3.2	Work Status and Sector in June (% of respondents not working in April/May)	33
T3.6a	Changes in working condition in wage work	34
T3.7	Ability to work as usual, wage workers	35
T3.7a	Hours worked, wage workers	36
T3.7b	Job security and benefits, wage workers	37
T3.8	Family businesses, status & fluctuation	38
T3.8a	Family businesses, main reason for closure in Round 2 (June)	39
T3.9	% of HHs with a family business	40
T3.9a	Family business - Revenues	41
T3.9b	Family business that operated during baseline- Revenues by sector	42
Т3.9с	Change in NFE revenue (% of HH with business)	43
T3.10	NFE: coronavirus-related challenges	44
F3.3	Figure 3.3. Challenges Associated with Operating Non-Farm Household Businesses (% households owning Non-Farm Household Business)	45
T3.11	Family farms & agriculture - status	46
T3.12	Changes in crop planting activities due to coronavirus	47
T3.12a	Reasons to not be able to access enough seeds	48
T4.1	SAFETY NETS since coronavirus pandemic	49
T4.2	Accessing Food Assistance	50
T4.2.a	Type of difficulties experienced to access food assistance	51
T4.3	Other Income sources in the last 12 months	52

Table 0.1: Sample Composition (# of Households)									
	Sector			Zones					
	Total	Urban	Rural	North	North	North	South	South	South
		O Dan	itaia.	Central	East	West	East	South	West
Sample size, GHS 2019	4,976	1,592	3,384	845	825	843	824	815	824
Round 1 (Apr/May), HHs called (sample size)	3,000	967	2,033	530	507	487	497	477	502
HHs fully interviewed	1,950	755	1,195	319	328	300	352	279	372
Round 2 (June), HHs called (sample size)	1,950	755	1,195	319	328	300	352	279	372
HHs fully interviewed (both rounds)	1,820	717	1,103	296	314	294	329	245	342
HHs fully interviewed (R2 only)	1,820	717	1,103	296	314	294	329	245	342
HHs reached but not fully interviewed	10	2	8	3	3	-	1	-	3
HHs refused	22	12	10	-	-	1	3	11	7
HHs unable to reach	98	24	74	20	11	5	19	23	20

Table 0.2: Contact Rate							
	Round 1	(Apr/May)	Round 2 (June)				
	Average #	% of Total HHs	Average #	% of Total IIIIs			
	calls per HH	% 01 10tal nns	calls per HH	% of Total HHs			
Calls made - all HHs	4.98	100.00	4.37	100.00			
Calls made, HHs interviewed	3.69	67.17	3.94	93.85			
Calls made, HHs refused	3.79	1.40	6.27	1.13			
Calls made, no contact	8.43	24.33	11.93	4.51			
Calls made, other non-response	5.61	7.10	13.30	0.51			




Table 0.3. Result of Interview							
	OVE	RALL	SECTOR				
	00	KALL	Url	oan	Rural		
	# of	% of overall	# of	% of urban	# of	% of rural	
	households	sample	households	sample	households	sample	
Contacted, Round 1 (Apr/May)	2070	69.0	796	82.3	1274	62.7	
Not contacted, Round 1 (Apr/May)	930	31.0	171	17.7	<i>759</i>	<i>37.3</i>	
Contacted, Round 2	1852	95.0	731	96.8	1121	93.8	
Complete	1820	93.3	717	95.0	1103	92.3	
Partially Complete	10	0.5	2	0.3	8	0.7	
Refused	22	1.1	12	1.6	10	0.8	
Not contacted, Round 2	98	5.0	24	3.2	74	6.2	
Nobody answering	38	1.9	12	1.6	26	2.2	
Number does not exist	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.1	
Phone turned off	49	2.5	12	1.6	37	3.1	
Wrong number (don't know the household)	2	0.1	0	0.0	2	0.2	
Reference person can't connect to household	8	0.4	0	0.0	8	0.7	

	Table 0.4: Sample Composition*								
	GHS Wave 4 Post-Harvest NLPS-C, Round 1 (Apr/May)					NLPS-C, Rou	NLPS-C, Round 2 (June)		
			Initial sample	Interview	ed Sample	Interviewed Sample			
Characteristic	Unweighted	Weighted	(unweighted)	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted		
Sample size (successful interviews)	4976	-	3000	1950	ı	1820	-		
Average household size	5.33	5.53	5.33	5.52	5.53	5.53	5.53		
Household head characteristics									
Female head (%)	20.1	18.6	20.2	19.1	18.6	18.6	18.6		
Age	49.8	48.8	49.4	49.4	49.2	49.3	49.1		
Literate (%)	72.8	74.4	72.9	79.4	74.4	79.9	74.4		
Asset ownership									
Regular mobile phone	66.1	65.4	66.5	71.1	66.0	71.4	66.3		
Smart phone	26.5	26.7	26.7	32.9	26.8	33.1	26.4		
Television	45.5	45.1	46.4	55.3	48.1	56.0	48.2		
Refrigerator	18.0	17.3	18.4	23.4	18.7	23.6	18.4		
Car	9.8	9.6	9.9	12.5	9.4	12.4	9.0		
Generator	26.3	24.6	26.3	32.4	24.4	32.4	24.3		
Consumption quintile									
Q1	12.2	11.7	11.6	9.7	11.7	9.2	11.7		
Q2	13.7	14.3	14.1	12.4	14.3	12.4	14.3		
Q3	18.5	17.8	18.4	17.3	17.8	17.6	17.8		
Q4	22.3	23.0	22.7	23.5	23.0	23.5	23.0		
Q5	33.3	33.1	33.2	37.1	33.1	37.3	33.1		

^{*} Based on information from the GHS only.




Table 1.1. Respondent Characteristics - Age and Sex (% of respondents)								
		Round 2 (June)						
	All	Male respondents	Female respondents	% of HHs that changed respondents				
All (% of respondents)	100	73.5	26.5	2.0				
15-24 years	5.1	4.4	7.1					
25-39 years	31.1	31.4	30.3					
40-49 years	24.2	25.6	20.1					
50-64 years	27.1	26.7	28.2					
65 years and above	12.5	11.9	14.3					
Median age of respondents	45	44	46					

Table 1.2: Respondent Relationship to Head						
	Re	ound 2 (Jun	e)			
Relationship to HH Head:	Total	Male	Female			
Head	81.8	91.9	53.7			
Spouse	8.9	0.1	33.2			
Child (own/step/adopted)	7.5	6.9	9.1			
Other relative	1.8	1.1	3.7			
Not related	0.1		0.2			

Table 1.3. Respondent education (% of respondents)						
	Ro	und 2 (June	e)*			
	Total	Male	Female			
Literate (in any language)	80.7	85.4	67.8			
Level						
No school	13.8	10.4	23.3			
Primary - partial	4.3	3.8	5.5			
Primary - completed	18.0	18.0	18.0			
Secondary - partial	7.8	7.4	8.9			
Secondary - completed	26.8	28.0	23.5			
Tertiary - partial & completed	23.3	24.9	18.8			
Religious	6.1	7.5	2.1			

^{*}Excludes 44 respondents that are new hh members

Table 1.4: Characteristics of Household (% of Households)								
	Round 1	Round 1 Round 2 (June)						
	(Apr/May)	Overall		GHS Co	nsumption	Quintile		
	Overall	Overali	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	
Household size (average # individuals)	5.5	5.5	9.2	7.6	6.0	5.1	3.4	
Household head, female (%)	18.4	18.4	7.6	9.3	21.5	20.3	23.0	
Education level of HH Head:								
No school	21.9	22.2	39.5	24.2	22.6	19.0	17.2	
Primary - partial	5.4	5.4	5.5	4.2	8.5	6.7	3.3	
Primary - completed	18.9	18.5	12.0	16.2	18.6	23.4	18.4	
Secondary - partial	5.4	5.4	4.8	7.4	6.4	5.5	4.1	
Secondary - completed	22.7	22.8	12.7	13.8	18.0	30.4	27.5	
Tertiary - partial & completed	16.0	16.0	7.1	10.3	10.6	10.5	28.4	
Religious	9.7	9.7	18.4	24.0	15.3	4.5	1.0	

Table 1.5: Older Adults and Dependency							
	Round 1	Round 1 Round 2 (June)					
	(Apr/May)	Overall		GHS Cor	nsumption (Quintile	
	Overall	Overall	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Individuals							
50 - 64 years old (%)	7.6	7.5	5.0	6.7	6.4	8.4	10.6
65 years old and older (%)	4.4	4.4	1.9	2.1	4.5	5.4	7.4
Household							
HHs with at least 1 person 50 - 64 (%)	39.6	40.0	46.3	46.2	36.7	39.4	37.4
HHs with at least 1 person 65+ (%)	23.7	23.7	18.1	15.8	27.5	26.1	25.3
HHs with at least 1 person 50+ (%)	53.4	53.9	58.4	54.1	55.5	52.5	52.4
Average share of members 50 - 64	9.0	9.4	5.0	7.3	6.6	9.8	13.1
Average share of members 65+	7.4	7.3	2.7	2.8	6.1	8.6	10.6
HHs with at least 1 person below 15	75.9	77.5	96.5	94.4	91.0	77.6	56.2

Table 2.1. Prevalence of safe practices						
	% of respondents					
Round 2: Prevalance of safe practices, last 7 days when in public	Wash hands with soap & water	Wear a mask				
All the time	61.2	61.4				
Most of the time	15.5	10.9				
About half the time	6.0	5.3				
Some of the time	11.3	8.9				
None of the time	1.9	8.2				
Not Applicable (have not been in public)	4.1	5.2				




Table 2.2. Access to water and soap, past 7 days (Round 2 - June)								
	% of HHs	GHS Consumption Quintile (% of HHs)				s)		
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5		
Insufficient drinking water	19.5	24.2	29.2	22.8	16.6	14.0		
Insufficient water to wash your hands	6.7	12.5	12.5	5.3	6.0	3.3		
Insufficient soap to wash your hands	24.9	37.0	35.4	32.3	22.6	13.6		




Table 2.3. Reasons water/soap was not sufficient (Round 2 - June)						
	Drinking	Water to wash	Soap to wash			
	water	hands	hands			
Water supply no longer available	27.3	23.0				
Water supply reduced	13.7	7.8				
Unable to access communal sources	15.5	14.2				
Shops have run out of stock	4.8	2.4	0.8			
Local markets not operating/ closed	0.0	0.0	4.5			
Limited / no transportation	1.5	4.0	0.8			
Restriction to go outside	1.5	5.7	6.6			
Increase in price	4.9	2.1	4.8			
Cannot afford it	17.2	28.4	78.8			
Afraid to get out and getting the virus	0.0	1.3	0.1			
No electricty (recoded)	5.9	6.1	0.0			
Source too far (recoded)	2.4	1.7	0.0			
Other	5.3	3.2	3.6			




Table 2.4. Food Insecurity Experience (% of HHs)							
	NLPS	GHS - Wave 4					
Due to lack of money or other resources:	Round 2 (June)	Post-Planting (July/Aug 2018)	Post-Harvest (Jan/Feb 2019)				
Fimod+sev: The proportion of the population experiencing moderate or severe food insecurity (SDG indicator 2.1.2)	76.8	53.5	37.0				
Fisev: The proportion of the population experiencing severe food insecurity	30.3	11.8	5.9				




Table 2.5. Medical treatment since mid-March 2020					
	% of HH % of HHs that needed				
Round 1 - Apr/May					
Someone in HH needed medical treatment	34.3	100.0			
Able to access medical treatment	25.5	74.4			
Not able to access medical treatment	8.8	25.6			
Round 2 - June					
Someone in HH needed medical treatment	35.1	100.0			
Able to access medical treatment	30.1	85.6			
Not able to access medical treatment	5.0	14.4			

Table 2.6. Reasons unable to access medical treatment (% of HHs where one of the members needed medical treatment)						
Frequency of reasons given	Round 1 (Apr/May)	Round 2 (June)				
Lack of money	55.4	70.0				
No medical personnel available	3.6	9.4				
Turned away because facility was full	1.3	0.0				
Due to movement restrictions	23.8	5.6				
Other	15.9	14.9				

Table 2.	7. Coronavirus	restrictions - e	effects on	education	*				
	Round 1 (Apr/May)	Round 2 (June)							
	% of all HHs	% of all UUs	Percen	t HHs, by	(GHS) cons	sumption q	uintiles	ercent HH	s, by secto
		% Of all HHS	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Urban	Rural
HHs with children ages 5 - 20	80.2	74.8	89.3	94.9	87.4	77.7	52.2	65.5	79.0
HHs with children attending school, pre-closures	92.8	92.6	92.1	97.8	94.3	92.8	87.5	87.7	94.5
Any students, in the past 7 days:									
Engaged in any learning/education activities	61.8	61.1	52.7	53.7	66.2	63.1	65.5	72.5	56.8
Have contact with teachers	19.1	35.3	39.4	35.4	37.6	31.1	35.0	33.4	36.0




Table 2.7a. Engagement in learning/education activities (% of HHs with children who attended schools before pre-closures in both rounds)						
Round 2 (June)						
		Engaged in any learning/education activities	Not engaged			
Round 1	Engaged in any learning/education activities	45.0	16.6			
(Apr/May)	Not engaged	16.2	22.3			

Table 2.8. 1	Table 2.8. Types of learning activities, past 7 days							
	Round 1 (Apr/May)	Round 2 (June)					
	As % of all HHs with children 5-20	As % of HHs that engaged in learning activities	As % of all HHs with children 5-20	As % of HHs that engaged in learning activities				
Completed assignments provided by the teacher	9.8	17.1	20.6	33.6				
Used mobile learning apps	3.6	6.2	10.9	17.9				
Watched educational TV programs	8.9	15.5	18.8	30.7				
Listened to educational radio progams	11.7	20.5	27.6	45.2				
Studied/read on their own	38.6	67.4	48.9	80.1				
Taught by parent or other HH member	32.1	56.1	46.1	75.3				
Session/meeting with Lesson Teacher (tutor)	9.1	15.9	20.1	32.9				
Other activities	1.8	3.1	1.4	2.2				




Table 3.1. WORK LAST WEEK (any work for pay or any income generating activities)								
	% of	Percent of respondents, by (GHS) consumption quintiles						
	respondents	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5		
Status of work								
Working: also working at baseline	36.8	29.7	39.7	35.4	39.7	36.8		
Working: not working at baseline but working before the outbreak	27.4	34.6	24.2	29.8	25.7	26.1		
Working: not working at baseline nor before outbreak	7.1	6.0	9.2	10.5	6.6	5.2		
Stopped since baseline	6.3	6.9	8.1	3.4	5.3	7.6		
Stopped since outbreak	15.5	19.5	14.4	17.9	10.8	16.5		
Still not working (since pre-outbreak)	6.9	3.3	4.5	2.9	11.9	7.7		




Table 3.1a. WORK LAST WEEK (any work for pay or any income generating activities)								
		Percent of respondents, by sectors						
	% of respondents	Agriculture	Construction, transport and professional activities	Commerce	Services			
Status of work								
Working: also working at baseline	36.80	49.1	38.0	29.4	39.0			
Working: not working at baseline but working before the outbreak	27.41	23.1	33.8	33.6	33.2			
Working: not working at baseline nor before outbreak	7.11	10.2	2.6	10.5	3.2			
Stopped since baseline	6.32	6.9	5.7	6.1	8.0			
Stopped since outbreak	15.49	10.8	19.9	20.5	16.6			
Still not working (since pre-outbreak)	6.87							




Table 3.1b. Respondents working in Round 2 that were working at baseline and/or before outbreak				
% of respondents working Round 2 that were worki				
Changes in job				
Same job as before	93.3			
Changed jobs	6.7			

Table 3.2. WORK LAS	Table 3.2. WORK LAST WEEK (any work for pay or any income generating activities)									
		Percent of respondents, by sectors								
	Agriculture Mining & Construction, transport and professional activities Administration Administration Administration Commerce activities					Services				
Status of work										
Working: also working at baseline	19.8	0.6	4.8	6.8	0.6	6.9				
Working: not working at baseline but working before the outbreak	9.3	0.6	4.3	7.8	1.6	5.9				
Working: not working at baseline nor before outbreak	4.1	0.1	0.3	2.4	0.0	0.6				
Stopped since baseline	2.8	0.1	0.7	1.4	0.4	1.4				
Stopped since outbreak	4.3	0.4	2.5	4.7	1.7	2.9				

Impact of COVID-19 on working status by sector (% of respondents)




Table 3.3. Work stoppages, by industry of main job					
	Percentage of respondents that STOPPED working		Percentage of respondents that STOPPED working during the outbreak but came back to working		
	Between Mid-March and April/May	Between April/May and June	Round 2 - June		
All	42.2	6.3	27.4		
Agriculture	24.8	39.5	31.7		
Mining & Utilities	2.1	1.8	1.9		
Construction, Transport & Profe	16.9	10.6	14.5		
Commerce	29.4	22.1	26.4		
Public Administration	7.3	5.3	5.5		
Services	19.5	20.9	20.0		

Table 3.4. Work stoppages, main reason						
	Percentage of respondents that stopped working		Percentage of respondents that stopped working		Level	
	Round 1 (May/Apr)	Round 2 (June)	Round 1	Round 2		
Business/office closed - coronavirus legal restrictions	85.81	40.88			Potentially related	
III/quarantined	0.51	15.34		64.41		Rel
Need to care for ill relative	0.00	3.71	89.55			Relation to related
Not able to go to farm - movement restrictions	2.25	0.00				tion to o
Laid off while business continues	0.39	0.00				
Furlough (temporarily laid off)	0.21	0.79				orona
Not able to farm due to lack of inputs	0.39	3.68				coronavirus d counter m
Business/office closed for another reason	2.20	5.33		35.59	Potentially unrelated	viru er m
Not farming season	3.61	2.62				
Seasonal worker/or farming season	1.07	1.68	10.45			us outbreak measures
Retired	0.28	0.00	10.45			rea es
Vacation	0.10	6.95				× &
Other	3.19	19.02				

Table 3.4a. Job Search, Respondents				
	% of respondents not working			
Not working (last week) (% of respondents)	28.7			
1. Temporarily absent	73.4			
1.1. Expect to return within 3 months	39.3			
1.2. They don't know when they will come back	34.2			
2. Searched for work (last 4 weeks**)	4.8			
3. Not searched for work	21.8			

^{**} last 4 weeks is during outbreak




Table 3.5. Type of work of those respondents working					
	Round 1 (Apr/May)	Round 2 (June)			
	% of all respondents working	% of all respondents working	% of respondents in type of work that are classified as new workers in Round 2		
Family Business	40.0	42.0	49.3		
Family farming (or livestock or fishing)	42.0	46.2	46.1		
Employee in private company	11.7	7.1	52.8		
Employee in government	4.8	3.8	61.1		
Paid apprentice/trainee/intern	1.4	0.9	36.8		
Percentage of respondents working	42.6	71.3	48.4		




Table 3.6. Main industry of those respondents working (% of respondents working)					
	Round 1 (Apr/May)	Round 2 (June)			
Agriculture	48.0	43.4			
Mining	0.6	0.7			
Utilities	1.1	1.0			
Construction	5.9	4.9			
Buying & Selling	18.3	22.2			
Transport	6.6	5.3			
Professional Activities	1.4	2.2			
Public Administration	2.3	3.0			
Personal Services	15.8	17.4			




Table 3.6b. Changes in working condition in wage work							
	% of	Percent of respondents, by (GHS) consumption quintiles					
	respondents	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	
Respondent working less* (% of respondents with wage-work)	14.89	7.89	33.38	17.89	20.99	9.10	
Other adults working less* (% of HHs)	17.63	7.06	11.00	10.80	14.49	25.17	
Average number of HH members working less, HH w respondent wage worker*	0.36	0.15	0.51	0.29	0.43	0.37	
Average number of HH members working less, all HHs*	0.17	0.22	0.11	0.18	0.17	0.19	

^{*} NOT ABLE to work as usual in their WAGE JOB (at place of work or from home) last week.

NOTE: Baseline status based on baseline questions regarding work in last 7 days. "before outbreak" based on baseline question "were you working prior to mid-March?"

Table 3.7. Ability to work as usual, wage workers					
	Percentage, wage working				
Able to work as usual last week*	Round 1	Round 2 (June)			
	(Apr/May)	(
No	44.70	44.06			
Yes	55.30	55.94			

^{*} either at place of employment or remotely from home

Table 3.7a. Hours worked - Wage workers			
	# of hours worked last week (Average)		
All	32.23		
Agriculture	25.47		
Mining & Utilities	39.52		
Construction, Transport & Professional Act.	29.18		
Commerce	24.74		
Public Administration	34.10		
Services	38.13		
	Change in hours worked last week		
Worked:	% of wage workers		
Worked more hours	10.80		
Same amount	44.12		
Less hours	45.08		

Table 3.7b. Wage workers - Job security and benefits					
	% of wage work respondents with written				
	contracts & employee benefits				
Written contract	64.2				
All 4 listed benefits	6.7				
At least 1 benefit	49.7				
Specific Benefits					
Paid sick leave	23.6				
Paid annual leave	22.3				
Health Insurance*	32.2				
Pension fund*	24.1				

^{*} refers to employer contribution to these benefits

Table 3.8 Family businesses, status & fluctuation				
	All			
HHs with a non-farm business in June 2020	48.7			
Started non-farm business since baseline	16.0			
Already had a non-farm business in 2020	53.6			
still open	60.9			
temporarily closed	30.0			
permanently closed	9.1			

^{*} only includes HH interviewed in NLPS Round 2

Table 3.8a Family businesses, main reason for closure in Round 2 (June)						
	Percent	tage of HHs	Level			
	temporar	ily closed	permanen	itly closed	1 2000.	
Usual place of business closed due to coronavirus legal restrictions	69.0		41.9			Relation to related
No customers/ fewer customers	0.0	79.92	0.0	47.31	Potentially related	rel:
Can't get inputs	0.0		1.2			ion to related
Can't travel/ transport goods for trade	6.2		0.2			ς cο
III/ quarantined due to coronavirus	4.7		3.9			oronavi counter
Usual place of business closed, other reasons	1.2		1.8			avii ter
III, other reason/disease	8.2		29.0			me Sn.
Need to take care of a family member	1.3	20.00	1.3	F2.60	Potentially	out
Seasonal closure	2.2	20.08	8.2	52.69	unrelated	coronavirus outbreak counter measures
Vacation	0.0		0.0			
Other	7.2		12.3			δο

^{*} includes all HHs that reported having a NFE during 2020

Table 3.9. Family business by sector					
	Sector of NFE				
	Round 1 Round 2				
	(Apr/May)	(June)			
Agriculture	1.4	1.4			
Mining	1.0	1.1			
Utilities	1.5	0.4			
Construction	3.4	4.1			
Buying & Selling	63.7	61.7			
Transport	7.0	7.0			
Professional Activities	2.2	2.9			
Public Administration	0.0	0.0			
Personal Services	19.8	21.3			

Table 3.9a. Family business - Revenues							
			Round 2 (June): sales revenue (early June), compared to April 2020				
Round 1 (Apr/May):		All	None Less Same Higher				
Sales revenue,	None	24.4	53.0			47.0	
compared to mid-	Less	56.4	37.6	35.8	9.8	16.8	
March*	Same	8.2	37.9	17.4	20.9	23.8	
	Higher	11.0	53.1	16.6	3.2	27.1	

^{*}outbreak and related countermeasures began in mid-March

Table 3.9b. Family business that operated during baseline- Revenues by sector					
	Current sales revenue (early June),				
		compared t	o April 2020	_	
	None	Less	Same	Higher	
Agriculture	0.0	16.5	14.5	68.9	
Mining & Utilities	16.0	36.2	25.3	22.5	
Construction, Transport & Professional Act.	0.4	56.6	4.9	38.1	
Commerce	8.9	48.1	14.6	28.4	
Public Administration					
Services	8.0	47.7	21.5	22.8	
% of HHs with a family business (Round 2)	7.9	47.8	15.5	28.8	
% of all HHs (Round 2)	2.1	12.6	4.1	7.6	

Table 3.9c Change in NFE revenue (% of HH with business)					
	None	Less	Same	Higher	
Round 1 (Apr/May): sales revenue, compared to mid-March* (% of HHs in Round 1 with a family business)	23.4	57.8	8.3	10.5	
Round 2 (June): sales revenue (early June), compared to April 2020 (% of HHs in Round 1 and 2 with a family business)	7.9	47.8	15.5	28.8	




Table 3.10a NFE: coronavirus-related challenges					
Challenges NET has forced due to covernous	Percent of respondents with NFE, Round 2 (June)				
Challenges NFE has faced due to coronavirus	All	Urban	Rural		
Difficulty buying and receiving supplies and inputs to run my busir	76.9	71.5	79.7		
Difficulty raising money for the business	87.3	88.4	86.7		
Difficulty repaying loans or other debt obligations	61.5	56.3	64.1		
Difficulty paying rent for business location	47.2	49.3	46.1		
Difficulty paying workers	29.9	24.7	32.5		
Difficulty selling goods or services to customers	69.2	70.0	68.7		
Have changed or plan to change how business is conducted	37.6	41.0	35.8		
	Percent of respondents that reported doing/plan to change				
Types of changes doing/planned (multiselect possible)	how business is conducted				
	All	Urban	Rural		
Require customers to wear masks	94.3	91.0	96.2		
Maintain distance between customers	95.3	93.2	96.5		
Reduce number of customers at a time	80.5	75.2	83.7		
Market products/services by phone/social media	57.5	54.0	59.5		
Constant of the delinear control	55.1	49.6	58.3		
Switched to delivery only					
Siwtched product/service offering	46.6	30.5	56.1		




Table 3.11. Family farms & agriculture - status						
	% of All	Percent HHs, by (GHS) consumption quintiles				intiles
	HHs	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
HH Farmwork during 2019 agricultural season*	66.4	78.6	88.4	81.2	64.5	49.8
HH Farmwork (land prep, planting) during 2020 agricultural season	76.8	86.5	92.0	87.6	74.4	62.8
Did none in 2020 but was planning to do so before coronavirus crisis	5.0	4.8	4.7	3.9	4.5	6.1
Did none in 2020 and had no plans to so	1.3	0.0	0.1	0.2	1.8	2.5
No HH farm	16.9	8.8	3.3	8.3	19.3	28.6
HH raised livestock in 2019	44.7	77.5	58.6	54.9	39.1	30.9
HH raised or raising livestock in 2020	53.1	73.4	57.9	64.1	48.5	41.1

In the case of GHS Wave 4, the question used was ag1a "Did members of this HH cultivate any crops?" during harvest season. The sample was restricted to only the HHs that also participated on Phone Survey R2

Table 3.12. Changes in crop planting activities due to coronavirus					
	% of HHs that changed planting activities	% of HH that did farmwork (land prep, planting) during 2020 agricultural season			
HH Farmwork, but changed planting activities due to		37.6			
coronavirus crisis		37.0			
Abandoned crop farming	0.9	0.3			
Reduced the area planted	52.3	19.7			
Increased the area planted	23.0	8.7			
Planted crops that take less time to mature	29.8	11.2			
Planted less variety/number of crops	23.7	8.9			
Planted more variety/number of crops	21.7	8.2			
Delayed planting	25.0	9.4			
Other change	14.1	5.3			




Table 3.12a. Reasons to not be able to access enough seeds					
	% of HHs that reported that they were unable to				
	acquire/transport seeeds				
Shops have run out of stock	7.3				
Local markets not operating/closed	25.2				
Limited /no transportation	17.9				
Restrictions on movement / travel	51.7				
Increase in price	28.8				
Not enough money to buy them	62.3				
Other	10.9				

Table 4.1 SAFETY NETS since coronavirus pandemic							
	% of HHs, Round 1 (since outbreak)	% of HHs, Round 2 (since baseline)	Round 2, % of HHs, by (GHS) consumption quintiles				
Types of assistance, any institution			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Food	12.3	13.3	10.5	9.4	11.6	11.3	18.4
Direct cash transfers	2.2	1.8					
Average amount of cash transfer (in Naira)	13,591	19,961					
Other in-kind (not food) transfers	0.8	1.3					

^{*} Too few observations

Table 4.2 Accessing Food Assistance						
	Round 2, % of HHs received Food Assistance since Round 1 (Apr/May)					
Difficulties accessing assistance?	All	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
No	84.7	60.1	84.3	89.8	89.6	85.9
Yes	15.3	39.9	15.7	10.2	10.4	14.1

Table 4.2a Type of difficulties experienced to access food assistance					
	% of HHs that experienced difficulties accessing food assistance				
Mobility contstraints of lockdown	26.4				
Incomplete/delayed payments	17.9				
Theft/crime	13.2				
Bribe requested	16.8				
Issues with national ID	2.7				
Inadequate info to access benefits	21.8				
Other	62.4				

Table 4.3. Other Income sources in the last 12 months					
Source of livelihood	% of HHs, Round 1 (Apr/May)	% of HHs, Round 2 (June)			
Remittances from abroad	3.4	3.6			
Remittances from family within the country	21.8	17.2			
Assistance from other non-family individuals	21.6	25.9			
Income from properties, investments, savings	14.4	16.8			
Pension	4.1	4.0			